



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/310,685 | 05/04/1999 | JONATHAN ROBERT LAMB | 674525-2001 | 9186 |

20999 7590 03/12/2002

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10151

EXAMINER

DECLoux, AMY M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/310,685

Applicant(s)

Lamb

Examiner

DeCloux, Amy

Group Art Unit

1644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DeCloux, Amy

(3) _____

(2) Kowalski, Thomas

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 26, 2002Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner notified applicant that upon further consideration of the priority data, applicant is entitled to receiving the benefit of the filing date of GB97/03058, and to receive priority under 35 USC 119(a)-(d) based on an applications 9623236.8, 9715674.9, 9719350.2 and GB97/03058 filed in Great Britain on 11/7/199, 7/24/1997, 9/11/1997 and 11/6/1997, respectively, contrary to what is stated in Sections 7 and 8 of the office action mailed 2-26-02. The applicant is requested to confirm this in their response to said office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Amy DeCloux 2-26-02